arXiv:1406.1129v1 [math.AG] 2 Jun 2014

On Grothendieck-Serre conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over regular semi-local domains containing a finite field: II

Ivan Panin *

June 2, 2014

Abstract

In three preprints [Pan1], [Pan3] and the present one we prove Grothendieck-Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over regular semi-local domains R containing **a finite field** (here G is a reductive group scheme). The preprint [Pan1] contains main geometric presentation theorems. The present preprint contains reduction of the Grothendieck–Serre's conjecture to the case of semi-simple simply-connected group schemes (see Theorem 1.0.1). The preprint [Pan3] contains a proof of that conjecture for regular semi-local domains R containing **a finite field**.

The Grothendieck–Serre conjecture for the case of regular semi-local domains containing **an infinite field** is proven in [FP]. *Thus the conjecture holds for regular semi-local domains containing a field.*

The reduction is based on two purity results (Theorems 1.0.2 and 10.0.29). The first of that purity results looks as follows. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k-smooth irreducible affine scheme, where k is **a finite field**. Given a smooth $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme morphism $\mu : G \to C$ of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes, with a torus C consider a functor from $\mathcal{O}$-algebras to abelian groups $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S) := C(S)/\mu(G(S))$. Assuming additionally that the kernel $ker(\mu)$ of μ is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme, we prove that this functor satisfies a purity theorem for $\mathcal{O}$. In the case of an infinite field k the two purity results are proven in [P2].

Examples to mentioned purity results are considered at the very end of the preprint.

1 Introduction

Recall [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7] that an R-group scheme G is called reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple), if it is affine and smooth as an R-scheme and if, moreover, for each ring homomorphism $s : R \to \Omega(s)$ to an algebraically closed field $\Omega(s)$,

*The author acknowledges support of the the RNF-grant 14-11-00456.

its scalar extension $G_{\Omega(s)}$ is connected and reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple) algebraic group over $\Omega(s)$. *Stress that all the groups $G_{\Omega(s)}$ are connected.* The class of reductive group schemes contains the class of semi-simple group schemes which in turn contains the class of simple group schemes. This notion of a simple R-group scheme coincides with the notion of a simple semi-simple R-group scheme from Demazure—Grothendieck [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn. 2.7 and Exp. XXIV, 5.3]. *Throughout the paper R denotes an integral noetherian domain and G denotes a reductive R-group scheme, unless explicitly stated otherwise.*

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring R and its field of fractions K and given a reductive group scheme G over R the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R, G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, has trivial kernel.

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let k be* **a finite field**. *Assume that for any irreducible k-smooth affine variety X and any finite family of its closed points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and the semi-local k-algebra $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X,x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n}$ and all semi-simple simply connected reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes H the pointed set map*

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, H) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(k(X), H),$$

induced by the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}$ into its fraction field $k(X)$, has trivial kernel.

Then for any regular semi-local domain $\mathcal{O}$ of the form $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n}$ above and any reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme G the pointed set map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}$ into its fraction field K, has trivial kernel.

To state our purity Theorems recall certain notions. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a covariant functor from the category of commutative noetherian R-algebras to the category of abelian groups. For any R-algebra S which is a noetherian domain consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathcal{F}(L) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{F}(L)/Im[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathcal{F}(L)]$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ runs over the height 1 primes of S and L is the field of fractions of S. *We say that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies purity for S if this sequence — which is clearly a complex — is exact.* The purity for S is equivalent to the following property

$$\bigcap_{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} Im[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathcal{F}(L)] = Im[\mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathcal{F}(L)].$$

Theorem 1.0.2 (Theorem A)**.** *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k-smooth irreducible affine scheme X, where k is* **a finite field**. *Let*

$$\mu : G \to C$$

be a smooth $\mathcal{O}$-morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes, with a torus C. Set $H = ker(\mu)$ and suppose additionally that H is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. Then the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

defined on the category of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras satisfies purity for $\mathcal{O}$ regarded as an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra via the identity map.

Clearly, this Theorem is similar to Theorem A from [P2]. However the proof of this Theorem is much more involved since the base field is finite.

Another functor satisfying purity is described by the formulae (24) in Section 10. The respecting purity result is Theorem 10.0.29.

After the pioneering articles [C-T/P/S] and [R] on purity theorems for algebraic groups, various versions of purity theorems were proved in [C-T/O], [PS], [Z], [Pa]. The most general result in the so called constant case was given in [Z, Exm.3.3]. This result follows now from our Theorem (A) by taking G to be a k-rational reductive group, $C = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ and $\mu : G \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ a dominant k-group morphism. The papers [PS], [Z], [Pa] contain results for the nonconstant case. However they only consider specific examples of algebraic scheme morphisms $\mu : G \to C$. In the case of an infinite field k the two purity results are proven in [P2].

Let us to point out that we use transfers for the functor $R \mapsto C(R)$, but we do not use at all any kind of norm principle for the homomorphism $\mu : G \to C$.

The preprint is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct norm maps following a method from [SuVo, Sect.6]. In Section 3 we discuss unramified elements. A key point here is Lemma 3.0.7. In Section 4 we discuss specialization maps. A key point here is Corollary 4.0.12. In Section 5 an equating statement is formulated. It is proved in the Appendix. In Section 6 a convenient technical tool is recalled and Theorem 6.0.15 is proved. In Section 7 we recall a geometric presentation Theorem from [Pan1] (see Theorem 7.0.17 below). In Section 8 Theorem A is proved. In Section 9 a Theorem B is proved. It extends Theorem A to the case of local regular domains (not to semi-local) containing a field. In Section 10 we consider the functor (24) and prove a purity Theorem 10.0.29 for that functor. In Section 11 Theorem 1.0.1 is proved. Finally in Section 12 we collect several examples illustrating two Purity Theorems.

Acknowledgments The author thanks very much A.Suslin for his interest to the topic of the present preprint.

2 Norms

Let $k \subset K \subset L$ be field extensions and assume that L is finite separable over K. Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K and

$$\sigma_i : K \to K^{sep},\ \ 1 \leq i \leq n$$

the different embeddings of K into L. As in §1, let C be a commutative algebraic group scheme defined over k. One can define a norm map

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K} : C(L) \to C(K)$$

by

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_i C(\sigma_i)(\alpha) \in C(K^{sep})^{\mathcal{G}(K)} = C(K)\ .$$

Following Suslin and Voevodsky [SuVo, Sect.6] we generalize this construction to finite flat ring extensions. Let $p : X \to Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine schemes. Suppose that its rank is constant, equal to d. Denote by $S^d(X/Y)$ the d-th symmetric power of X over Y.

Lemma 2.0.3. *There is a canonical section*

$$\mathcal{N}_{X/Y} : Y \to S^d(X/Y)$$

which satisfies the following three properties:

(i) Base change: for any map $f : Y' \to Y$ of affine schemes, putting $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X'/Y'}} & S^d(X'/Y') \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle S^d(Id_X \times f)} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}} & S^d(X/Y) \end{array}$$

(ii) Additivity: If $f_1 : X_1 \to Y$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to Y$ are finite flat morphisms of degree d_1 and d_2 respectively, then, putting $X = X_1 \coprod X_2$, $f = f_1 \coprod f_2$ and $d = d_1 + d_2$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{d_1}(X_1/Y) \times S^{d_2}(X_2/Y) & & \xrightarrow{\quad\sigma\quad} & & S^d(X/Y) \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathcal{N}_{X_1/Y} \times \mathcal{N}_{X_2/Y}}\nwarrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{N}_{X/Y}} & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

where σ is the canonical imbedding.

(iii) Normalization: If $X = Y$ and p is the identity, then $\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}$ is the identity.

Proof. We construct a map $\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}$ and check that it has the desired properties. Let $B = k[X]$ and $A = k[Y]$, so that B is a locally free A-module of finite rank d. Let $B^{\otimes d} = B \otimes_A B \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A B$ be the d-fold tensor product of B over A. The permutation group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ acts on $B^{\otimes d}$ by permuting the factors. Let $S^d_A(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$ be the A-algebra of all the $\mathfrak{S}_d$-invariant elements of $B^{\otimes d}$. We consider $B^{\otimes d}$ as an $S^d_A(B)$-module through the inclusion $S^d_A(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$ of A-algebras. Let I be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $B^{\otimes d} \to \bigwedge^d_A(B)$ mapping $b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_d$ to $b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_d$. It is well-known (and easily checked locally on A) that I is generated by all the elements $x \in B^{\otimes d}$ such that $\tau(x) = x$ for some transposition τ. If s is in $S^d_A(B)$, then $\tau(sx) = \tau(s)\tau(x) = sx$, hence sx is in $S^d(B)$ too. In other words, I is an $S^d_A(B)$-submodule of $B^{\otimes d}$. The induced $S^d_A(B)$-module structure on $\bigwedge^d_A(B)$ defines an A-algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : S^d_A(B) \to End_A(\bigwedge^d_A(B)) \ .$$

Since B is locally free of rank d over A, $\bigwedge^d_A(B)$ is an invertible A-module and we can canonically identify $End_A(\bigwedge^d_A(B))$ with A. Thus we have a map

$$\varphi : S^d_A(B) \to A$$

and we define

$$N_{X/Y} : Y \to S^d(X/Y)$$

as the morphism of Y-schemes induced by φ. The verification of properties (i), (ii) and (iii) is straightforward. □

Let k be **a finite field**. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many **closed** points on a smooth affine irreducible k-variety X. Let C be an affine smooth commutative $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme, Let $p : X \to Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine $\mathcal{O}$-schemes and $f : X \to C$ any $\mathcal{O}$-morphism. We define *the norm* $N_{X/Y}(f)$ of f *as the composite map*

$$Y \xrightarrow{N_{X/Y}} S^d(X/Y) \to S^d_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \xrightarrow{S^d_{\mathcal{O}}(f)} S^d_{\mathcal{O}}(C) \xrightarrow{\times} C \tag{1}$$

Here we write " $\times$ " for the group law on C. The norm maps $N_{X/Y}$ satisfy the following conditions

(i') Base change: for any map $f : Y' \to Y$ of affine schemes, putting $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id\times f)^*} & C(X') \\ {\scriptstyle N_{X/Y}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N_{X'/Y'}} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & C(Y') \end{array}$$

(ii') multiplicativity: if $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$ then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id\times f)^*} & C(X_1)\times C(X_2) \\ {\scriptstyle N_{X/Y}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N_{X_1/Y}N_{X_2/Y}} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{id} & C(Y) \end{array}$$

(iii') normalization: if $X = Y$ and the map $X \to Y$ is the identity then $N_{X/Y} = id_{C(X)}$.

3 Unramified elements

Let k be **a finite field**, $\mathcal{O}$ be the k-algebra from Theorem 1.0.2 and K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mu : G \to C$ be the morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes from Theorem 1.0.2. We work in this section with *the category of commutative $\mathcal{O}$-algebras*. For a commutative $\mathcal{O}$-algebra S set

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = C(S)/\mu(G(S)). \tag{2}$$

Let S be an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S-unramified elements of $\mathcal{F}(L)$* as

$$\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}\in Spec(S)^{(1)}} Im[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathcal{F}(L)], \tag{3}$$

where $Spec(S)^{(1)}$ is the set of hight 1 prime ideals in S. Obviously the image of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ in $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$. In most cases $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ injects into $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ is simply the intersection of all $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

For an element $\alpha \in C(S)$ we will write $\bar{\alpha}$ for its image in $\mathcal{F}(S)$. *In this section we will write $\mathcal{F}$ for the functor* (2). We will repeatedly use the following result due to Nisnevich.

Theorem 3.0.4 ([Ni])**.** *Let S be a $\mathcal{O}$-algebra which is discrete valuation ring with fraction field L. The map $\mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathcal{F}(L)$ is injective.*

Proof. Let H be the kernel of μ. Since μ is smooth and C is a tori, the group scheme sequence

$$1 \to H \to G \to C \to 1$$

gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the étale topology. In turn that sequence of sheaves induces a long exact sequence of pointed sets. So, the boundary map $\partial : C(S) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(S, H)$ fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(S)/\mu(G(S)) & \longrightarrow & C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(S, H) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(L, H). \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows have trivial kernels. The bottom arrow has trivial kernel by a Theorem from [Ni], since H is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. Thus the top arrow has trivial kernel too.

□

Lemma 3.0.5. *Let $\mu : G \to C$ be the above morphism of our reductive group schemes. Let $H = \ker(\mu)$. Then for an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra L, where L is a field, the boundary map $\partial : C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(L, H)$ is injective.*

Proof. For a L-rational point $t \in C$ set $H_t = \mu^{-1}(t)$. The action by left multiplication of H on H_t makes H_t into a left principal homogeneous H-space and moreover $\partial(t) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(L, H)$ coincides with the isomorphism class of H_t. Now suppose that $s, t \in C(L)$ are such that $\partial(s) = \partial(t)$. This means that H_t and H_s are isomorphic as principal homogeneous H-spaces. We must check that for certain $g \in G(L)$ one has $t = s\mu(g)$.

Let L^{sep} be a separable closure of L. Let $\psi : H_s \to H_t$ be an isomorphism of left H-spaces. For any $r \in H_s(L^{sep})$ and $h \in H_s(L^{sep})$ one has

$$(hr)^{-1}\psi(hr) = r^{-1}h^{-1}h\psi(r) = r^{-1}\psi(r).$$

Thus for any $\sigma \in Gal(L^{sep}/L)$ and any $r \in H_s(L^{sep})$ one has

$$r^{-1}\psi(r) = (r^{\sigma})^{-1}\psi(r^{\sigma}) = (r^{-1}\psi(r))^{\sigma}$$

which means that the point $u = r^{-1}\psi(r)$ is a $Gal(L^{sep}/L)$-invariant point of $G(L^{sep})$. So $u \in G(L)$. The following relation shows that the ψ coincides with the right multiplication by u. In fact, for any $r \in H_s(L^{sep})$ one has $\psi(r) = rr^{-1}\psi(r) = ru$. Since ψ is the right multiplication by u one has $t = s\mu(u)$, which proves the lemma.

□

Let k, $\mathcal{O}$ and K be as above in this Section. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a field containing K and $x : \mathcal{K}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a discrete valuation vanishing on K. Let A_x be the valuation ring of x. Clearly, $\mathcal{O} \subset A_x$. Let $\hat{A}_x$ and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the completions of A_x and $\mathcal{K}$ with respect to x. Let $i : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the inclusion. By Theorem 3.0.4 the map $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ is injective. We will identify $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$ with its image under this map. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)).$$

The inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induces a map $\mathcal{F}(A_x) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ which is injective by Lemma 3.0.4. So both groups $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ are subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$. The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ coincides with the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ consisting of all elements *unramified* at x.

Lemma 3.0.6. $\mathcal{F}(A_x) = \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$.

Proof. We only have to check the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$. Let $a_x \in \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ be an element. It determines the elements $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$ which coincide when

regarded as elements of $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$. We denote this common element in $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ by $\hat{a}_x$. Let $H = \ker(\mu)$ and let $\partial : C(-) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(-, H)$ be the boundary map.

Let $\xi = \partial(a) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{K}, H)$, $\hat{\xi} = \partial(\hat{a}) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\hat{A}_x, H)$ and $\hat{\xi}_x = \partial(\hat{a}_x) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$ Clearly, $\hat{\xi}$ and ξ both coincide with $\hat{\xi}_x$ when regarded as elements of $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$. Thus one can glue ξ and $\hat{\xi}$ to get a $\xi_x \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(A_x, H)$ which maps to ξ under the map induced by the inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and maps to $\hat{\xi}$ under the map induced by the inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \hat{A}_x$.

We now show that ξ_x has the form $\partial(a'_x)$ for a certain $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$. In fact, observe that the image ζ of ξ in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{K}, G)$ is trivial. By Theorem [Ni] the map

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(A_x, G) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{K}, G)$$

has trivial kernel. Therefore the image ζ_x of ξ_x in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(A_x, G)$ is trivial. Thus there exists an element $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ with $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(A_x, H)$.

We now prove that a'_x coincides with a_x in $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ are both subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$, it suffices to show that a'_x coincides with the element a in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$. By Lemma 3.0.5 the map

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{K}, H) \tag{4}$$

is injective. Thus it suffices to check that $\partial(a'_x) = \partial(a)$ in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{K}, H)$. This is indeed the case because $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x$ and $\partial(a) = \xi$, and ξ_x coincides with ξ when regarded over $\mathcal{K}$. We have proved that a'_x coincides with a_x in $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$. Thus the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ is proved, whence the lemma.

□

Let k, $\mathcal{O}$ and K be as above in this Section.

Lemma 3.0.7. *Let $B \subset A$ be a finite extension of Dedekind K-algebras, which are domains. Let $0 \neq f \in A$ be such that A/fA is finite over K. Let $h \in B \cap fA$ be such that the induced map $B/hB \to A/fA$ is an isomorphism. Suppose $hA = fA \cdot J''$ for an ideal $J'' \subseteq A$ co-prime to the ideal fA.*

Let E and F be the field of fractions of B and A respectively. Let $\alpha \in C(A_f)$ be such that $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ is A-unramified. Then, for $\beta = N_{F/E}(\alpha)$, the class $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ is B-unramified.

Proof. The only primes at which $\bar{\alpha}$ could be ramified are those which divide hA. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be one of them. Check that $\bar{\alpha}$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$.

To do this we consider all primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ in A lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_1$ be the unique prime dividing f and lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$A \otimes_B \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$$

with $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If F, E are the fields of fractions of A and B then

$$F \otimes_B \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_n}$$

and $\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will write $\hat{F}_i$ for $\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ and $\hat{A}_i$ for $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. Let

$$\alpha \otimes 1 = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in C(\hat{F}_1) \times \cdots \times C(\hat{F}_n).$$

Clearly for $i \geq 2$ one has $\alpha_i \in C(\hat{A}_i)$ and $\alpha_1 = \mu(\gamma_1)\alpha_1'$ with $\alpha_1' \in C(\hat{A}_1) = C(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\gamma_1 \in G(\hat{F}_1) = G(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now $\beta \otimes 1 \in C(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ coincides with the product

$$\alpha_1 N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2) \cdots N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_n) = \mu(\gamma_1)[\alpha_1' N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2) \cdots N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_n)].$$

Thus $\overline{\beta \otimes 1} = \bar{\alpha}_1' \overline{N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2)} \cdots \overline{N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n)} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $i : E \hookrightarrow \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the inclusion and $i_* : \mathcal{F}(E) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the induced map. Clearly $i_*(\bar{\beta}) = \overline{\beta \otimes 1}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now Lemma 3.0.6 shows that the element $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $\bar{\beta}$ is B-unramified.

□

4 Specialization maps

Let k be **a finite field**, $\mathcal{O}$ be the k-algebra from Theorem 1.0.2 and K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mu : G \to C$ be the morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes from Theorem 1.0.2. We work in this section with *the category of commutative K-algebras* and with the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S)) \tag{5}$$

defined on the category of K-algebras. So, we assume in this Section that each ring from this Section is equipped with a distinguished K-algebra structure and each ring homomorphism from this Section respects that structures. Let S be an K-algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S-unramified elements* $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ *of* $\mathcal{F}(L)$ by formulae (3).

For a regular domain S with the fraction field $\mathcal{K}$ and each height one prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in S we construct **specialization maps** $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the field of fractions of S and $K(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of R at the prime $\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 4.0.8. *Let $Ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : C(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to C(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ and $ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ be the maps induced by the canonical K-algebra homomorphism $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \to K(\mathfrak{p})$. Define a homomorphism $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ by $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tilde{\alpha})$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a lift of α to $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Theorem 3.0.4 shows that the map $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is well-defined. It is called the specialization map. The map $ev_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the evaluation map at the prime $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Obviously for $\alpha \in C(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ one has $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{Ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$.

Lemma 4.0.9 ([H])**.** *Let H' be a smooth linear algebraic group over the field K. Let S be a K-algebra which is a Dedekind domain with field of fractions $\mathcal{K}$. If $\xi \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathcal{K}, H')$ is an S-unramified element for the functor $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(-, H')$ (see (3) for the Definition), then ξ can be lifted to an element of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(S, H')$.*

Proof. Patching.

□

Theorem 4.0.10 ([C-T/O], Prop.2.2)**.** *Let $G' = G_K$, where G is the reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme from this Section (it is connected and even geometrically connected, since we follow [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7]). Then*

$$ker[H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K[t], G') \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K(t), G')] = * \ .$$

We need the following theorem.

Theorem 4.0.11 (Homotopy invariance)**.** *Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined by the formulae (5) and let $\mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t))$ be defined by the formulae (3). Let $K(t)$ be the rational function field in one variable. Then one has*

$$\mathcal{F}(K) = \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t)).$$

Proof. The injectivity is clear, since the composition

$$\mathcal{F}(K) \to \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t)) \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathcal{F}(K)$$

coincides with the identity (here s_0 is the specialization map at the point zero defined in 4.6).

It remains to check the surjectivity. Let

$$\mu_K = \mu \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K : G_K = G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \to C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = C_K.$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t))$ and let $H_K = ker(\mu_K)$. Since μ is smooth the K-group H_K is smooth. Since G_K is reductive it is K-affine. Whence H_K is K-affine. Clearly, the element $\partial(a) \in H^1_{et}(K(t), H_K)$ is a class which for every closed point $x \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$ belongs to the image of $H^1_{et}(\mathcal{O}_x, H_K)$. Thus by Lemma 4.0.9, $\xi := \partial(a)$ can be represented by an element $\tilde{\xi} \in H^1_{et}(K[t], H_K)$, where $K[t]$ is the polynomial ring. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \tilde{a} & \longmapsto & \tilde{\xi} & \longmapsto & \tilde{\zeta} \\
 & & & & & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K[t]) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{et}(K[t], H_K) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{et}(K[t], G_K) \\
 & & \epsilon \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K(t)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{et}(K(t), H_K) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{et}(K(t), G_K) \\
 & & & & & & \\
 & & a & \longmapsto & \xi & \longmapsto & *
\end{array}$$

in which all the maps are canonical, the horizontal lines are exact sequences of pointed sets and $ker(\eta) = *$ by Theorem 4.0.10. Since ξ goes to the trivial element in $H^1_{et}(K(t), G_K)$, one concludes that $\eta(\tilde{\zeta}) = *$. Whence $\tilde{\zeta} = *$ by Theorem 4.0.10. Thus there exists an element $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F}(K[t])$ such that $\partial(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\xi}$. The map $\mathcal{F}(K(t)) \to H^1_{et}(K(t), H_K)$ is injective by Lemma 3.0.5. Thus $\epsilon(\tilde{a}) = a$. The map $\mathcal{F}(K) \to \mathcal{F}(K[t])$ induced by the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K[t]$ is surjective, since the corresponding map $C(K) \to C(K[t])$ is an isomorphism. Whence there exists an $a_0 \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ such that its image in $\mathcal{F}(K(t))$ coincides with the element a.

□

Corollary 4.0.12. *Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined in (2). Let*

$$s_0, s_1 : \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t)) \to \mathcal{F}(K)$$

be the specialization maps at zero and at one (at the primes (t) and (t-1)). Then $s_0 = s_1$.

Proof. It is an obvious consequence of Theorem 4.0.11. □

5 Equating group schemes

The following Theorem is a straightforward analog of [OP, Prop.7.1]

Theorem 5.0.13. *Let S be a regular semi-local irreducible scheme such that the residue fields at all its closed points are* **finite**. *Let $\mu_1 : G_1 \to C_1$ and $\mu_2 : G_2 \to C_2$ be two smooth S-group scheme morphisms with tori C_1 and C_2. Assume as well that G_1 and G_2 are reductive S-group schemes which are forms of each other. Assume that C_1 and C_2 are forms of each other. Let $T \subset S$ be a connected non-empty closed sub-scheme of S, and $\varphi : G_1|_T \to G_2|_T$, $\psi : C_1|_T \to C_2|_T$ be S-group scheme isomorphisms such that $(\mu_2|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_1|_T)$. Then there exists a finite étale morphism $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ together with its section $\delta : T \to \tilde{S}$ over T and $\tilde{S}$-group scheme isomorphisms $\Phi : \pi^* G_1 \to \pi^* G_2$ and $\Psi : \pi^* C_1 \to \pi^* C_2$ such that*

(i) $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$,

(ii) $\delta^*(\Psi) = \psi$,

(iii) $\pi^*(\mu_2) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \pi^*(\mu_1) : \pi^*(G_1) \to \pi^*(C_2)$

(iv) the scheme $\tilde{S}$ is irreducible.

We refer to [PSV, Prop.5.1] for the proof of a slightly weaker statement. The proof of the Theorem is done in the same style with some additional technicalities (see Appendix).

6 Nice triples

We study in the present Section certain packages of geometric data and morphisms of that packages. The concept of "nice triples" is very closed to the one of "standard triples " [Vo, Defn.4.1] and is inspired by the latter one. Let k be **a finite field**, X/k be a smooth geometrically irreducible affine variety, $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$ be **a family of its closed points**. Let $\mathcal{O}_{X,\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}}$ be the respecting semi-local ring.

Definition 6.0.14. *Let $U := Spec(\mathcal{O}_{X,\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}})$. A nice triple over U consists of the following family of data:*

(i) a smooth morphism $q_U : \mathcal{X} \to U$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is an irreducible scheme,

(iii) *an element* $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$

(ii) *a section* Δ *of the morphism* q_U.

These data must satisfy the following conditions:

(a) *each component of each fibre of the morphism* q_U *has dimension one,*

(b) *the* $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/f \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ *is a finite* $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = O_{X,\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}}$*-module,*

(c) *there exists a finite surjective* U*-morphism* $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$*,*

(d) $\Delta^*(f) \neq 0 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$.

A morphism between two nice triples $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta') \to (q : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta)$ *over* U *is an étale morphism of* U*-schemes* $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ *such that*

(1) $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$*,*

(2) $f' = \theta^*(f) \cdot g'$ *for an element* $g' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$
(in particular, $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/\theta^*(f) \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ *is a finite* $O_{X,\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}}$*-module),*

(3) $\Delta = \theta \circ \Delta'$.

(Stress that there are no conditions concerning an interaction of Π' *and* Π *).*

If U as in Definition 6.0.14 then for any U-scheme V and any closed point $u \in U$ set $V_u = u \times_U V$. For a finite set A denote $\sharp A$ the cardinality of A.

Theorem 6.0.15. *Let* U *be as in Definition 6.0.14. Let* $(q : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta)$ *be a nice triple over* U*. Let* $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ *be a reductive* $\mathcal{X}$*-group scheme and* $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ *and* G_{const} *be the pull-back of* G_U *to* $\mathcal{X}$*. Let* $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ *be an* $\mathcal{X}$*-tori and* $C_U := \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$ *and* C_{const} *be the pull-back of* C_U *to* $\mathcal{X}$*. Let* $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : G_{\mathcal{X}} \to C_{\mathcal{X}}$ *be an* $\mathcal{X}$*-group scheme morphism smooth as a scheme morphism. Let* $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}})$ *and* $\mu_{\text{const}} : G_{\text{const}} \to C_{\text{const}}$ *be the the pull-back of* μ_U *to* $\mathcal{X}$*.*

Then there exist a morphism $\theta : (q' : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta') \to (q : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta)$ *between nice triples over* U *and isomorphisms*

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{const}) \to \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}),\ \Psi : \theta^*(C_{const}) \to \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

of $\mathcal{X}'$*-group schemes such that*

(1) $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = id_{G_U}$, $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = id_{G_U}$ *and*

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{const}) \tag{6}$$

(2) *for the closed sub-scheme* $\mathcal{Z}'$ *of* $\mathcal{X}'$ *defined by* $\{f' = 0\}$ *and any closed point* $u \in U$ *the point* $\Delta'(u) \in \mathcal{Z}'_u$ *is the only* $k(u)$*-rational point of* $\mathcal{Z}'_u$*,*

(3) *for any closed point* $u \in U$ *and any integer* $r \geq 1$ *and for* $\mathcal{Z}'$ *as in* (2) one has

$$\sharp\{z \in \mathcal{Z}'_u | \deg[z:u] = r\} \leq \ \sharp\{x \in \mathbf{A}^1_u | \deg[z:u] = r\}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.0.15. We can start by almost literally repeating arguments from the proof of [OP1, Lemma 8.1], which involve the following purely geometric lemma [OP1, Lemma 8.2].

For reader's convenience below we state that Lemma adapting notation to the ones of Section 6. Namely, let U be as in the Theorem and $(q : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta)$ be the nice triple over U. By the definition of a nice triple there exists a finite surjective morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ of U-schemes.

Lemma 6.0.16. *Let* $\mathcal{Y}$ *be a closed nonempty sub-scheme of* $\mathcal{X}$*, finite over* U*. Let* $\mathcal{V}$ *be an open subset of* $\mathcal{X}$ *containing* $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y}))$*. There exists an open set* $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ *still containing* $q_U^{-1}(q_U(\mathcal{Y}))$ *and endowed with a finite surjective morphism* $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ *(in general* $\neq \Pi$*).*

Let $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ be the above finite surjective U-morphism. The following diagram summarises the situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{Z} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbf{A}^1 \times U \\ & & \Delta \uparrow \downarrow q_U & & \\ & & U & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{Z}$ is the closed sub-scheme defined by the equation $f = 0$. By assumption, $\mathcal{Z}$ is finite over U. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Z}_{red} \cup \Delta(U)))$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\Delta(U)$ are both finite over U and since Π is a finite morphism of U-schemes, $\mathcal{Y}$ is also finite over U. Denote by $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ its closed points and let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},y_1,\ldots,y_m})$. Set $T = \Delta(U) \subseteq S$. Further, let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$, G_U, G_{const}, $C_{\mathcal{X}}$, C_U, C_{const}, $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$, μ_U, μ_{const} be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 6.0.15. Finally, let $\varphi : G_{\mathrm{const}}|_T \to G_{\mathcal{X}}|_T$ and $\psi : C_{\mathrm{const}}|_T \to C_{\mathcal{X}}|_T$ be the canonical isomorphisms. Clearly, $(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_{\mathrm{const}}|_T)$ Recall that by assumption $\mathcal{X}$ is U-smooth and irreducible, and thus S is regular and irreducible.

By Theorem 5.0.13 there exists a finite étale morphism $\theta_0 : S' \to S$, a section $\delta : T \to S'$ of θ_0 over T and isomorphisms

$$\Phi_0 : \theta_0^*(G_{\mathrm{const},S}) \to \theta_0^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \text{ and } \Psi_0 : \theta_0^*(C_{\mathrm{const},S}) \to \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$$

such that S' is irreducible, $\delta^*\Phi_0 = \varphi$, $\delta^*\Psi_0 = \psi$ and

$$\theta_0^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ \theta_0^*(\mu_{\mathrm{const}}) : \theta_0^*(G_{\mathrm{const}}) \to \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Let $p = q_U \circ \theta_0 : S' \to U$. By Lemma 13.0.37 there exists a finite étale morphism $\rho : S'' \to S'$ (with an irreducible scheme S'') and a section $\delta' : T' \to S''$ of ρ over T' such

that the properties (1) and (2) from Lemma 13.0.37 hold. Set $\delta'' = \delta' \circ \delta : T \to S''$ and $\theta''_0 = \theta_0 \circ \rho : S'' \to U$. We are also given with the S''-group scheme isomorphisms

$$\Phi''_0 = \rho^*(\Phi_0) : (\theta''_0)^*(G_{\mathrm{const},S}) \to (\theta''_0)^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \text{ and } \Psi''_0 = \rho^*(\Psi_0) : (\theta''_0)^*(C_{\mathrm{const},S}) \to (\theta''_0)^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$$

such that $(\delta'')^*(\Phi''_0) = \varphi$, $(\delta'')^*(\Psi''_0) = \psi$ and

$$(\theta''_0)^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi''_0 = \Psi''_0 \circ (\theta''_0)^*(\mu_{\mathrm{const}}) : (\theta''_0)^*(G_{\mathrm{const}}) \to (\theta''_0)^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

We can extend these data to a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & S'' & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{V}'' & & \\ & \overset{\delta''}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta''_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta} & & \\ T & \hookrightarrow & S & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{V} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where $\theta : \mathcal{V}'' \to \mathcal{V}$ finite étale and $\mathcal{V}''$ is irreducible, and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\mathrm{const}}) \to \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}) \text{ and } \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\mathrm{const}}) \to \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

such that

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\mathrm{const}}) : \theta^*(G_{\mathrm{const}}) \to \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Since T isomorphically projects onto U, it is still closed viewed as a sub-scheme of $\mathcal{V}$. Note that since $\mathcal{Y}$ is semi-local and $\mathcal{V}$ contains all of its closed points, $\mathcal{V}$ contains $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y})) = \mathcal{Y}$. By Lemma 6.0.16 there exists an open subset $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ containing $\mathcal{Y}$ and endowed with a finite surjective U-morphism $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$.

Let $\mathcal{X}' = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}''$, $f' = \theta^*(f)$, $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$, and let $\Delta' : U \to \mathcal{X}'$ be the section of q'_U obtained as the composition of δ'' with Δ. We claim that the triple $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple over U. Let us verify this. Firstly, the structure morphism $q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U$ coincides with the composition

$$\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{q_U} U.$$

Thus, it is smooth. The scheme $\mathcal{X}'$ is irreducible as an open sub-scheme of irreducible $\mathcal{V}''$. The element f' belongs to the ring $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$, the morphism Δ' is a section of q'_U. Each component of each fibre of the morphism q_U has dimension one, the morphism $\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is étale. Thus, each component of each fibre of the morphism q'_U is also of dimension one. Since $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{W}$ is finite, the scheme $\{f' = 0\}$ is finite over $\{f = 0\}$ and hence also over U. In other words, the $\mathcal{O}$-module $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/f' \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ is finite. The morphism $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{W}$ is finite and surjective. We have constructed above in Lemma 6.0.16 the finite surjective morphism $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$. It follows that $\Pi^* \circ \theta : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ is finite and surjective.

Clearly, the étale morphism $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism between the nice triples over U, with $g' = 1$.

Denote the restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{X}'$ simply by Φ and denote the restriction of Ψ to $\mathcal{X}'$ simply by Ψ. The equalities $(\Delta')^*\Phi = id_{G_U}$ and $(\Delta')^*\Psi = id_{C_U}$ hold by the very construction of the isomorphisms Φ and Ψ.

All the closed points of the sub-scheme $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ are in S. The morphism θ is finite and $\theta^{-1}(S) = S''$. Thus all the closed points of the sub-scheme $\{f' = 0\} \subset \mathcal{X}'$ are in S''. Now the properties (1) and (2) from Lemma 13.0.37 of the U-scheme S'' show that the assertions (2) and (3) of Theorem 6.0.15 do hold for the closed sub-scheme $\mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{X}'$ defined by $\{f' = 0\}$. Theorem 6.0.15 follows.

□

7 One more Theorem

Theorem 7.0.17 below is a refinement of [OP, Lemma 2]. It's proved in [Pan1]. Let k be a finite field and let U be as in Definition 6.0.14. For a U-scheme V and any closed point $u \in U$ set $V_u = u \times_U V$.

Theorem 7.0.17. *Let $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta')$ be a nice triple over the scheme U such that f'* **vanishes at every closed point of** *$\Delta'(U)$. Let $\mathcal{Z}'$ be the closed sub-scheme of $\mathcal{X}'$ defined by $\{f' = 0\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{Z}'$ satisfies the conditions* (2) *and* (3) *from Theorem 6.0.15. Then there exists a distinguished finite surjective morphism*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$$

of U-schemes which enjoys the following properties:

(a) *the morphism $\sigma|_{\mathcal{Z}'} : \mathcal{Z}' \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ is a closed embedding;*

(b) *σ is étale in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{Z}' \cup \Delta'(U)$;*

(c) *$\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{Z}')) = \mathcal{Z}' \coprod \mathcal{Z}''$ scheme theoretically and $\mathcal{Z}'' \cap \Delta'(U) = \emptyset$;*

(d) *$\sigma^{-1}(\{0\} \times U) = \Delta'(U) \coprod \mathcal{D}$ scheme theoretically and $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$;*

(e) *for $\mathcal{D}_1 := \sigma^{-1}(\{1\} \times U)$ one has $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$.*

(f) *there is a monic polinomial $h \in \mathcal{O}[t]$ such that $(h) = Ker[\mathcal{O}[t] \xrightarrow{\bar{\circ}\sigma^*} \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/(f')]$.*

Corollary 7.0.18. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 7.0.17 let K be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}$, $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$, $A_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$. Consider an inclusion $K[t] \subset A_K$ induced by the inclusion $\sigma^* : \mathcal{O}[t] \hookrightarrow A$. Then the polinomial h from the item* (f) *does not vanish at the points $t = 1$ and $t = 0$ of the affine line $\mathbf{A}^1_K$.*

Let $J'' \subset A$ be the ideal defining the closed sub-scheme $\mathcal{Z}''$ of the affine scheme $\mathcal{X}'$. Then the extension $K[t] \subset A_K$, the element $f' \in A_K$, the polinomial $h \in K[t] \cap f'A_K$ from the item (f) *and the ideal J''_K satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.7.*

Proof. The property (e) of 7.0.17 implies that h does not vanish at the point 1. Since $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple over U one has $(\Delta')^*(f') \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}$. Since $(\Delta')^*(f') \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}$ the conditions (a) and (d) imply that h does not vanish at 0 either.

Prove now the second assertion. Firstly A_K/fA_K is finite over K, since $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta')$ is a nice triple. Secondly the items (a) and (f) show that the induced map $K[t]/hK[t] \to A_K/f'A_K$ is an isomorphism. Thirdly, the item (c) shows that the ideal $J''_K \subseteq A$ is co-prime to the ideal $f'A$. Finally, the items (a), (f) and (c) show that $hA_K = f'A_K \cdot J''$.

□

8 Proof of Theorem (A)

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\}$ on the k-smooth irreducible affine scheme X, where k is **the finite field** from Theorem (A). Set $U := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. Replacing k with its algebraic closure in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ we may and will assume that X is k-smooth and geometrically irreducible. Further, consider the reductive U-group scheme G, the U-tori C and the smooth U-group scheme morphism

$$\mu : G \to C$$

from Theorem (A). Let K be the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\xi_K \in C(K)$ be such that the element $\bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-unramified (see (3)).

Shrinking X if necessary, we may secure the following properties:

(i) The points $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$ are still in X and X is affine;

(ii) There are given a reductive X-group scheme G_X, an X-tori C_X, a smooth X-group scheme morphism $\mu_X : G_X \to C_X$ such that $H_X := ker(\mu_X)$ is a reductive X-group scheme and $G = U \times_X G_X$, $C = U \times_X C_X$, $\mu = U \times_X \mu_X$. In particular, for any U-scheme S one has $G(S) = G_X(S)$, $C(S) = C_X(S)$, $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}_X(S)$, where $\mathcal{F}_X(S) := C_X(S)/\mu_X(G_X(S))$.

(iii) The element ξ is defined over X_{f}, that is there is given an element $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_{\mathrm{f}})$ for a non-zero function $\mathrm{f} \in k[X]$ such that the image of ξ in $C_X(K) = C(K)$ coincides with the element ξ_K;

(iv) we may assume also that $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_{\mathrm{f}})$ is $k[X]$-unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}_X$;

Let $\eta_{\mathrm{f}} : \mathrm{Spec}(K) \to X_{\mathrm{f}}$ be a morphism induced by the inclusion $k[X_{\mathrm{f}}] \hookrightarrow k(X) = K$ and let $\eta : \mathrm{Spec}(K) \to U$ be a morphism induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow K$. Clearly, $\xi_K = \eta_{\mathrm{f}}^*(\xi) \in C_X(K) = C(K)$.

- *Our aim is to find an element $\xi_U \in C_X(U)$ such that $\eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U) = \bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}_X(K)$.*

We will construct such an element ξ_U rather explicitly in (11). At the moment right now we are given, in particular, with the smooth geometrically irreducible affine k-scheme X, the finite family of points $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ on X, and the non-zero function $\mathrm{f} \in k[X]$ vanishing at each point x_i. Recall, that beginning with these data a nice triple

$$(q_U : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta)$$

is constructed in [PSV, Section 6, (16)], where $\mathcal{X} = U \times_S X$ for a k-smooth affine scheme S and a smooth morphism $X \to S$. It is done shrinking X and secure properties (i) to (iv) at the same time. Recall that $q_U : \mathcal{X} = U \times_S X \to U$ is the projection to U. Let $q_X : \mathcal{X} = U \times_S X \to X$ be the projection to X.

Notation 8.0.19. *Set* $G_{\mathcal{X}} := (q_X)^*(G_X)$ *and* $G_{const} := (q_U)^*(G)$, $C_{\mathcal{X}} := (q_X)^*(C_X)$ *and* $C_{const} := (q_U)^*(C)$. *Set* $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = q_X^*(\mu_X) : G_{\mathcal{X}} \to C_{\mathcal{X}}$ *and* $\mu_{const} = q_U^*(\mu_{const}) : G_{const} \to C_{const}$.

By Theorem 6.0.15 there exist a morphism

$$\theta : (q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta') \to (q_U : \mathcal{X} \to U, f, \Delta) \tag{8}$$

of nice triples over U and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \to \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}),\ \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \to \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

of $\mathcal{X}'$-group schemes such that $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = id_{G_U}$, $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = id_{G_U}$ and

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{const}) \tag{9}$$

and the closed sub-scheme $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ defined by $\{f' = 0\}$ satisfies the conditions (2) and (3) from Theorem 6.0.15.

Definition 8.0.20. Set $q'_X = q_X \circ \theta : \mathcal{X}' \to X$**.** *Define two functors* $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}_X$ *on the category of* $\mathcal{X}'$*-schemes as follows: for an* $\mathcal{X}'$*-scheme* $g : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ *set*

$$\mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{Y}') := C_{const}(\mathcal{Y}')/\mu_{const}(G_{const}(\mathcal{Y}'))\ \textit{and}\ \ \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{Y}') := C_X(\mathcal{Y}')/\mu_X(G_X(\mathcal{Y}')).$$

Here for the functor $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ *the scheme* $\mathcal{Y}'$ *is regarded as a* U*-scheme via the composition* $q'_U \circ g$ *and for the functor* $\mathcal{F}_X$ *the scheme* $\mathcal{Y}'$ *is regarded as an* X*-scheme via the composition* $q'_X \circ g$.

The equality (9) implies that for every $\mathcal{X}'$-scheme $\mathcal{Y}'$ **the group isomorphism** $\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}'} : \theta^*(C_{const})(\mathcal{Y}') \to \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})(\mathcal{Y}')$ induces a group isomorphism

$$\bar{\Psi}_{\mathcal{Y}'} : \mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{Y}') \to \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{Y}') \tag{10}$$

The group isomorphisms $\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ form a natural functor transformation of functors defined on the category of $\mathcal{X}'$-schemes and $\mathcal{X}'$-scheme morphisms. The same holds concerning isomorphisms (10).

If an $\mathcal{X}'$-scheme is of the form $\mathcal{Y}' \to U \xrightarrow{\Delta'} \mathcal{X}'$ then $\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}'} = id$. Indeed $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = id_{C_U}$. Particulary, we have proved the following

Claim 8.0.21. *If* U *is regarded as an* $\mathcal{X}'$*-scheme via the morphism* Δ' *and Spec*(K) *is regarded as an* $\mathcal{X}'$*-scheme via the morphism* $\Delta' \circ \eta$, *then* $\Psi_U = id$ *and* $\Psi_K = id$.

We will identify below in the proof the functors $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ and $\mathcal{F}_X$ via the functor isomorphism $\bar{\Psi}$. *We are rather closed to a construction of the required element* $\xi_U \in C_X(U)$. Let $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta')$ be the nice triple over U from (8). **Since by [PSV, Section 6, Claim 6.1]** f **vanishes at all closed points of** $\Delta(U)$**, and** θ **is a morphism of nice triples,** f' **vanishes at all closed points of** $\Delta'(U)$ **as well**. As was already mentioned in this proof the closed sub-scheme $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ defined by $\{f' = 0\}$ satisfies the conditions (2) and (3) from Theorem 6.0.15. So, the nice triple $(q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \to U, f', \Delta')$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 7.0.17.

By Theorem 7.0.17 there exists a finite surjective morphism

$$\sigma : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$$

of U-schemes which enjoys the properties: **subjecting conditions (a) to (e) from that Theorem.** Since $\mathcal{X}'$ and $\mathcal{Y}' := U \times \mathbf{A}^1$ are regular schemes and σ is finite surjective it is finite and flat by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Cor.18.17]. So, for any U-map $t : \mathcal{Y}'' \to \mathcal{Y}'$ of affine U-schemes, setting $\mathcal{X}'' = \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{Y}''$ we have the norm map given by formulae (1)

$$N_{\mathcal{X}''/\mathcal{Y}''} : C_{const}(\mathcal{X}'') \to C_{const}(\mathcal{Y}'').$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \sigma^{-1}(U \times \{1\})$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be as in Theorem 7.0.17. The scheme theoretic pre-image $\sigma^{-1}(U \times \{0\})$ of $U \times \{0\}$ is equal to $\Delta'(U) \coprod \mathcal{D}$.

Consider the element $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_{\mathrm{f}})$ chosen above. Set

$$\zeta = (q'_X)^*(\xi) \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'}) = C_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f'}).$$

By Theorem 7.0.17 one has $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$. Thus one can form the following element

$$\xi_U = N_{\mathcal{D}_1/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}_1}) N_{\mathcal{D}/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \in C_{const}(U) = C_X(U) \tag{11}$$

Claim 8.0.22 (Main)**.** *One has $\bar{\xi}_K = \eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U) \in \mathcal{F}_X(K)$, where K is the fraction field of both $k[X]$ and $\mathcal{O}$.*

To complete the proof of Theorem A, it remains to prove the Claim. To do this it is convenient to fix some notations. For an U-scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ set $\mathcal{Z}_K = \mathrm{Spec}(K) \times_U \mathcal{Z}$, for a U-morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{W}$ set $\varphi_K = \mathrm{Spec}(K) \times_U \varphi$. Clearly one has $U_K = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ and $(U \times \mathbf{A}^1)_K$ is just the affine line $\mathbf{A}^1_K$. Its closed subschemes $U_K \times \{1\}$ and $U_K \times \{0\}$ coincide with the points 1 and 0 of $\mathbf{A}^1_K$. The morphism $(q'_U)_K : {\mathcal{X}'}_K \to U_K = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ is smooth. In fact, the morphism θ is étale and $q_U : \mathcal{X} \to U$ is smooth. The morphism σ_K is finite flat and fits in the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {\mathcal{X}'}_K & \xrightarrow{\sigma_K} & \mathbf{A}^1_K \\ & {\scriptstyle (q'_U)_K} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle pr_K} & \\ & \mathrm{Spec}(K) & \end{array} \tag{12}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{D}_{1,K}$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point $\{1\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$ and the disjoint union $\mathcal{D}_K \coprod \Delta'_K$ is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point $\{0\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$ under the morphism σ_K.

Let ζ_K be the pull-back of ζ under the natural map $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \to \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$. Let $\eta : \mathrm{Spec}(K) = U_K \to U$ be the map from the beginning of the present section. By the base change property of the norm map (1) one has

$$\eta^*(\xi_U) = \xi_{U,K} = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) N_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})^{-1} \in C_{const}(K) \tag{13}$$

Set

$$\zeta_t := N_{K(\mathcal{X}'_K)/K(\mathbf{A}^1)}(\zeta_K) \in C_{const}(K(\mathbf{A}^1)) = C_{const}(K(t)). \tag{14}$$

To prove Claim 8.0.22 we need the following one:

Claim 8.0.23. *The class $\bar{\zeta}_t \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K(t))$ is $K[t]$-unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}_{const}$.*

Prove now this Claim. Let $\zeta_K \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ be the pull-back of $\zeta \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$ under the natural morphism $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \to \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$.

Consider the composition morphism of schemes $r : \mathcal{X}'_K \to \mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{q'_X} X$. It induces a rational function field inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K(\mathcal{X}'_K)$ (stress that it does not coincides with the one induced by the projection $q'_{U,K} : \mathcal{X}'_K \to \mathrm{Spec}(K)$). It's easy to check that for each closed point $y \in \mathcal{X}'_K$ its image $r(y) \in X$ has either hight 1 or 0 (codimension 1 or 0). The family of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'_K,y}) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{X,r(y)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K)) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(K). \end{array} \tag{15}$$

shows that the map $r^* : \mathcal{F}_X(K) \to \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K))$ takes X-unramified elements to $\mathcal{X}'_K$-unramified elements for the functor $\mathcal{F}_X$. Since the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_{\mathrm{f}})$ is $k[X]$-unramified, the element $\bar{\zeta}_K = r^*(\bar{\xi}) \in \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})) = \mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ is $\mathcal{X}'_K$-unramified.

Under the notation of Corollary 7.0.18 the extension $K[t] \subset A_K$, the element $f' \in A_K$, the polinomial $h \in K[t] \cap f'A_K$ and the ideal J''_K satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.7. As we already know the element $\bar{\zeta}_K \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ is $\mathcal{X}'_K$-unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}_{const}$.

Thus by Lemma 3.0.7 the class $\bar{\zeta}_t$ is $K[t]$-unramified for the functor $\mathcal{F}_{const}$. This implies the Claim 8.0.23.

Continue the proof of Claim 8.0.22. By Claim 8.0.23 we can apply the specialization maps to $\bar{\zeta}_t$. By Corollary 4.0.12 the specializations at 0 and 1 of the element $\bar{\zeta}_t$ coincide, that is

$$s_1(\bar{\zeta}_t) = s_0(\bar{\zeta}_t) \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K). \tag{16}$$

By Corollary 7.0.18 the function $h \in K[t]$ does not vanish as at 1, so at 0 and by the items (a) and (f) of Theorem 7.0.17 one has $\zeta_t \in C_{const}(K[t]_h)$. Using the relation between specialization and evaluation maps described in Definition 4.0.8 one has a chain of equalities

$$\overline{Ev_1(\zeta_t)} = s_1(\bar{\zeta}_t) = s_0(\bar{\zeta}_t) = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta_t)} \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K). \tag{17}$$

The base change and the multiplicativity properties of the norm map (1) imply equalities

$$Ev_1(\zeta_t) = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) \tag{18}$$

and

$$Ev_0(\zeta_t) = N_{\mathcal{D}_K \coprod \Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K \coprod \Delta'_K}) = N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}) \cdot N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) \tag{19}$$

So, we have a chain of equalities in $\mathcal{F}_{const}(K)$

$$\overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} = \overline{Ev_1(\zeta_t)} = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta_t)} = \overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})} \cdot \overline{N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})} \tag{20}$$

By the normalization property of the norm map one has

$$N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) = (\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) \tag{21}$$

Claim 8.0.24. $(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) = \xi_K \in C_{const}(K)$.

Set $q'_{X,\mathrm{f}} = q'_X|_{\mathcal{X}'_{f'}} : \mathcal{X}'_{f'} \to X_{\mathrm{f}}$, where q'_X is from Definition 8.0.20. Let r_{f} be the composite morphism $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \to \mathcal{X}'_{f'} \xrightarrow{q'_{X,\mathrm{f}}} X_{\mathrm{f}}$. Clearly, $r_{\mathrm{f}} \circ \Delta'_K = \eta_{\mathrm{f}} : Spec(K) \to X_{\mathrm{f}}$. The following chain of equalities prove Claim 8.0.24: $(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) = (\Delta'_K)^*(r^*_{\mathrm{f}}(\xi)) = \eta^*_{\mathrm{f}}(\xi) = \xi_K \in C_X(K) = C_{const}(K)$.
By Claim 8.0.24 and equalities (21), (20), (13) we have in $\mathcal{F}_{const}(K) = \mathcal{F}_X(K)$

$$\bar{\xi}_K = (\Delta'_K)^*(\bar{\zeta}_K) = \overline{(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K)} = \overline{N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})} =$$

$$\overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} \cdot (\overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})})^{-1} = \eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U).$$

Whence the Claim 8.0.22. The proof of **Theorem A** is completed.

□

9 An extension of Theorem A

The main aim of the present Section is to prove the following result

Theorem 9.0.25 (Theorem B)**.** *Let R be a regular local domain containing* **a field** *k. Let*

$$\mu : G \to C$$

be a smooth R-group scheme morphism of reductive R-group schemes, with a torus C. Set $H = ker(\mu)$ and suppose additionally that H is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. The functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

defined on the category of R-algebras satisfies purity for R.

Proof. To prove Theorem B we now recall a celebrated result of Dorin Popescu (see [P] or, for a self-contained proof, [Sw]).

Let k be a field and R a local k-algebra. We say that R is *geometrically regular* if $k' \otimes_k R$ is regular for any finite extension k' of k. A ring homomorphism $A \to R$ is called *geometrically regular* if it is flat and for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R lying over $\mathfrak{p}$, $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}} = k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is geometrically regular over $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Observe that any regular local ring containing a **perfect** field k is geometrically regular over k.

Theorem 9.0.26 (Popescu's theorem)**.** *A homomorphism $A \to R$ of noetherian rings is geometrically regular if and only if R is a filtered direct limit of smooth A-algebras.*

Proof of Theorem B. Let R be a regular local ring containing **a field** k**.** If $char(k)$ is zero then k is perfect. If $char(k) = p > 0$, then we replace k with the field $\mathbb{F}_p$. In both cases k is perfect. Since k is perfect one can apply Popescu's theorem. So, R can be presented as a filtered direct limit of smooth k-algebras A_α over the field k. We first observe that we may replace the direct system of the A_α's by a system of essentially smooth local k-algebras. In fact, if $\mathfrak{m}$ are all maximal ideal of R and $S = R - \mathfrak{m}$ we can replace each A_α by $(A_\alpha)_{S_\alpha}$, where $S_\alpha = S \cap A_\alpha$. Note that in this case the canonical morphisms $\varphi_\alpha : A_\alpha \to R$ are local (sends the maximal ideal to the maximal one) and every A_α is a regular local ring, in particular a factorial ring.

Let now L be the field of fractions of R and, for each α, let K_α be the field of fractions of A_α. For each index α let $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ be the kernel of the map $\varphi_\alpha : A_\alpha \to R$ and $B_\alpha = (A_\alpha)_{\mathfrak{a}_\alpha}$. Clearly, for each α, K_α is the field of fractions of B_α. The composition map $A_\alpha \to R \to L$ factors through B_α and hence it also factors through the residue field k_α of B_α. Since R is a filtering direct limit of the A_α's we see that L is a filtering direct limit of the B_α's. We will write ψ_α for the canonical morphism $B_\alpha \to L$.

Let $\xi \in C(L)$ be such that the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ is R-unramified. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 9.0.27. *Let B be a regular local ring and let K be its field of fractions. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of B and $\bar{B} = B/\mathfrak{m}$. For an element $\theta \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ write $\bar{\theta}$ for its image in $\mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ and θ_K for its image in $\mathcal{F}(K)$. Let $\eta, \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ be such that $\eta_K = \rho_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$. Then $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$.*

Lemma 9.0.28. *There exists an index α and an element $\xi_\alpha \in C(B_\alpha)$ such that $\psi_\alpha(\xi_\alpha) = \xi$ and the class $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α-unramified.*

Assuming these two Lemmas we complete the proof as follows. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} & & & R \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & k_\alpha & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ K_\alpha. & & & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 9.0.28 the class $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α-unramified. Hence by Theorem A there exists an element $\eta \in C(A_\alpha)$ such that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha = \bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$. By Lemma 9.0.27 the elements $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\eta}$ have the same image in $\mathcal{F}(k_\alpha)$. Hence $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ coincides with the image of the element $\varphi_\alpha(\bar{\eta})$ in $\mathcal{F}(L)$. It remains to prove the two Lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 9.0.27. Induction on $dim(B)$. The case of dimension 1 follows from Theorem 3.0.4 applied to the local ring B. To prove the general case choose an $f \in B$ such that $\eta = \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B_f)$. Let $\pi \in B$ be such that π is a regular parameter in B, having no common factors with f. Let $B' = B/\pi B$. Then for the image $(\eta - \rho)'$ of $\eta - \rho$ in $\mathcal{F}(B')$ we have $(\eta - \rho)'_f = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(B'_f)$. By the inductive hypotheses one has $\overline{(\eta - \rho)'} = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$. Since $\overline{(\eta - \rho)} = \overline{(\eta - \rho)'} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$, one has $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$.

Proof of Lemma 9.0.28.

Choose an $f \in R$ such that ξ is defined over R_f. Then ξ is ramified at most at those hight one primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ which contains f. Since the class $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ is R-unramified there exists, for any $\mathfrak{p}_i$, an element $\sigma_i \in G(L)$ and an element $\xi_i \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ such that $\xi = \mu(\sigma_i)\xi_i \in C(L)$. We may assume that ξ_i is defined over R_{h_i} for some $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$ and that σ_i is defined over R_{g_i} for some $g_i \in R$.

We can find an index α such that A_α contains lifts $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$ and moreover

(1) $C(A_{\alpha,f_\alpha})$ contains a lift ξ_α of ξ,

(2) $C(A_{\alpha,h_{i,\alpha}})$ contains a lift of $\xi_{i,\alpha}$ of ξ_i,

(3) $G(A_{\alpha,g_{i,\alpha}})$ contains a lift of $\sigma_{i,\alpha}$ of σ_i.

Since none of the $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$ vanishes in R, the elements $\xi_\alpha, \xi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{ir,\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \sigma_{r,\alpha}$ may be regarded as elements of $C(B_\alpha)$ and $G(B_\alpha)$ respectively.

We know that $\xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha})$ and ξ_α map to the same element in $C(L)$. Hence replacing α by a larger index, we may assume that $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$. We claim that the class $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ is A_α-unramified. To prove this note that the only primes at which $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ could be ramified are those which divide f_α. Let $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ be one of them. Check that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Let $q_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ be a prime element such that $q_\alpha A_\alpha = \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Then $q_\alpha r_\alpha = f_\alpha$ for an element r_α. Thus $qr = f \in R$ for the images of q_α and r_α in R. Since the homomorphism $\varphi_\alpha : A_\alpha \to R$ is local, $q \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. The relation $qr = f$ shows that $q \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some index i. Thus $q_\alpha \in \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha \subset \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. On the other hand $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, because $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$. Thus $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Now the relation $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$ with $\xi_{i,\alpha} \in C(A_{\alpha,h_{i,\alpha}})$ shows that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$. Thus $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is unramified at each hight one prime in A_α containing f_α. Since $\xi_\alpha \in C(A_{\alpha,f_\alpha})$ we conclude that $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$ is A_α-unramified. The lemma follows. The theorem is proved.

□

10 One more purity result

In this Section we prove another purity theorem for reductive group schemes. Let k be **a finite field**. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many **closed** points on a k-smooth **irreducible affine** k-variety X and let K be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}$. Let G be a semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $Cent(G)$. **It is known that Z is of multiplicative type**. Let $G' = G/Z$ be the factor group, $\pi : G \to G'$ be the projection. It is known that π is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes

$$\{1\} \to Z \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G' \to \{1\} \tag{22}$$

induces an exact sequence of group sheaves in fppt-topology. Thus for every $\mathcal{O}$-algebra R the sequence (22) gives rise to a boundary operator

$$\delta_{\pi,R} : G'(R) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(R, Z) \tag{23}$$

One can check that it is a group homomorphism (compare [Se, Ch.II, §5.6, Cor.2]). Set

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fttp}}(R, Z)/Im(\delta_{\pi,R}). \tag{24}$$

Clearly we get a functor on the category of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras.

Theorem 10.0.29. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the semi-local ring of finitely many* **closed** *points on a k-smooth irreducible* **affine** *k-variety X. Let G be a semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $Cent(G)$. Then the functor $\mathcal{F}$ on the category $\mathcal{O}$-algebras given by (24) satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$ regarded as an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra via the identity map.*

If K is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$ this statement can be restated in an explicit way as follows: given an element $\xi \in H^1_{fppt}(K, Z)$ suppose that for each height 1 prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ there exists $\xi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H^1_{fppt}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)$, $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G'(K)$ with $\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{p}} + \delta_\pi(g_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in H^1_{fppt}(K, Z)$. Then there exists $\xi_{\mathfrak{m}} \in H^1_{fppt}(\mathcal{O}, Z)$, $g_{\mathfrak{m}} \in G'(K)$, such that

$$\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{m}} + \delta_\pi(g_{\mathfrak{m}}) \in H^1_{fppt}(K, Z).$$

Proof. The group Z is of multiplicative type. So we can find a finite étale $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A and a closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\ A})$ into the permutation torus $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\ A})$. Let $G^+ = (G \times T^+)/Z$ and $T = T^+/Z$, where Z is embedded in $G \times T^+$ diagonally. Clearly $G^+/G = T$. Consider a commutative diagram



with exact rows and columns. By 11.0.30 and Hilbert 90 for the semi-local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra A one has $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) = \{*\}$. So, the latter diagram gives

rise to a commutative diagram of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G') & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G') \\
 & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_*^+} \\
G^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu^+_{\mathcal{O}}} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^+_{\mathcal{O}}} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G) & \xrightarrow{j^+_*} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G^+) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle i^+_*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i_*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i^+_*} \\
T^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \{*\} \\
 & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta_\pi} & & \\
 & & & & G'(\mathcal{O}) & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It follows that π^+_* has trivial kernel and one has a chain of group isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},Z)/Im(\delta_{\pi,\mathcal{O}}) = ker(\pi_*) = ker(j^+_*) = T(\mathcal{O})/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O})).$$

Clearly these isomorphisms respect $\mathcal{O}$-homomorphisms of semi-local $\mathcal{O}$-algebras.

The morphism $\mu^+ : G^+ \to T$ is a smooth $\mathcal{O}$-morphism of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes, with the torus T. The kernel $ker(\mu^+)$ is equal to G and G is a reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. The functor $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto T(\mathcal{O}')/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O}'))$ satisfies purity for the regular semi-local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}$ by Theorem (A). Hence the functor $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}',Z)/Im(\delta_{\pi,\mathcal{O}'})$ satisfies purity for $\mathcal{O}$. □

11 Proof of Theorem 1.0.1

Proof of Theorem 1.0.1 for the case of semi-simple reductive group scheme. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and G be the same as in Theorem 1.0.1 and assume additionally that G is semi-simple. We need to prove that

$$ker[H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O},G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K,G)] = *. \tag{25}$$

Let G^{sc} be the corresponding simply-connected semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme and let $\pi : G^{sc} \to G$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme morphism. Let $Z = ker(\pi)$. It is known that Z is contained in the center $Cent(G^{sc})$ of G^{sc} and Z is a finite group scheme of multiplicative type. It is known that $G = G^{sc}/Z$ and π is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes

$$\{1\} \to Z \xrightarrow{i} G^{sc} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to \{1\}, \tag{26}$$

gives rise to an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) \to H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G^{sc}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},G) \to H^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O},Z) \tag{27}$$

By the Theorem 10.0.29 the functor

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(R, Z)/Im(\delta_{\pi,R}) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(R, Z)/\partial(G(R)).$$

satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$. The following result is known (see [Gr3, Thm.11.7])

Lemma 11.0.30. *Let R be a noetherian ring. Then for a reductive R-group scheme H and for $n = 0, 1$ the canonical map $H^n_{\acute{e}t}(R, H) \to H^n_{fppt}(R, H)$ is a bijection of pointed sets. For a R-tori T and for each integer $n \geq 0$ the canonical map $H^n_{\acute{e}t}(R, T) \to H^n_{fppt}(R, T)$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 11.0.31. *For the ring $\mathcal{O}$ above one has $ker[H^2_{fppt}(\mathcal{O}, Z) \to H^2_{fppt}(K, Z)] = *$.*

Proof. In fact, consider the closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\ A})$ into the permutation torus $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\ A})$ from Theorem 10.0.29. Set $T = T^+/Z$. The short sequence of $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes $1 \to Z \to T^+ \to T \to 1$ gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the fppt-topology. That sequence in turn gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \tag{28}$$

Firstly note that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) = 0$, since A is semi-local. Secondly note that $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^2_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = \mathrm{H}^2_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A})$. The map $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, T)$ is injective by Lemma 11.0.30 and [C-T/S]. The homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, T^+)$ coincides with the map $\mathrm{H}^2_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \mathbb{G}_{m,A\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}K})$. The latter map is injective, since A is regular semi-local of geometric type (see [Gr2, ??]). Now a diagram chaise completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Continue the proof of the equality (25). We have the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) \to H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, G) \to H^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z) \tag{29}$$

and furthermore a commutative diagram with exact arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\
H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{i'_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^2_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, Z)/\partial(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{i''_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, Z)
\end{array} \tag{30}$$

Here $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a hight one prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. The maps i_*, i'_* and i''_* are injective (compare ([Se, Ch.I,Sect.5, Prop.39 and Cor.1 of Prop.40])). Set $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$, $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$, $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$, $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$. By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] and Lemma 11.0.30 one has

$$ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = * \,. \tag{31}$$

Thus $ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$. By the assumptions of Theorem 1.0.1 and by Lemma 11.0.30 one has

$$ker[H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, G^{sc})] = * \ . \tag{32}$$

By Lemma 11.0.31 the map δ_K is injective. As mentioned right above Lemma 11.0.30 the functor $\mathcal{F}(R) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(R, Z)/\partial(G(R))$ satisfies purity for the ring $\mathcal{O}$. Now we are ready to make a diagram chaise.

Let $\xi \in ker(\gamma_K)$, then $\partial(\xi) \in ker(\delta_K)$. By [C-T/S] one has $ker(\delta_K) = *$, whence $\partial(\xi) = *$ and $\xi = \pi_*(\zeta)$ for an $\zeta \in H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc})$. Since $\gamma_K(\xi) = *$ and $ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$ we see that $\gamma(\xi) = *$. Thus $\pi_*(\beta(\zeta)) = *$ and $\beta(\zeta) = i'_*(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for an $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. A diagram chaise shows that there exists a unique element $\epsilon_K \in H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, Z)/\partial(G(K))$ such that for each hight one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ one has

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_K \in H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(K, Z)/\partial(G(K)).$$

By Purity Theorem 10.0.29 there exists an element $\epsilon \in H^1_{\mathrm{fppt}}(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}))$ such that $\alpha_K(\epsilon) = \epsilon_K$. The element ϵ_K has the property that $i''_*(\epsilon_K) = \beta_K(\zeta)$. Whence $\beta_K(i_*(\epsilon)) = \beta_K(\zeta)$. The map $\beta_K : H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G^{sc})$ is injective since by the hypotheses of Theorem 1.0.1 such a map has trivial kernel for all semi-simple simply-connected reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes. Whence $i_*(\epsilon) = \zeta$ and $\xi = i_*(\pi_*(\epsilon)) = *$. The semi-simple case of Theorem 1.0.1 is proved. □

Claim 11.0.32. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.0.1 for all semi-simple reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme G the map $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathcal{O}, G) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K, G)$ is injective.*

In fact, let $\xi, \zeta \in H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G)$ be two elements such that its images ξ_K, ζ_K in $H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G)$ are equal. Let ${}_{\xi}G$, ${}_{\zeta}G$ be the corresponding principal G-bundles over $\mathcal{O}$ and $G(\zeta)$ be the inner form of the $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme G corresponding to ζ. The $\mathcal{O}$-scheme $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G, {}_{\zeta}G)$ is a principal $G(\zeta)$-bundle over $\mathcal{O}$, which is trivial over K. Since $G(\zeta)$ is semi-simple reductive over $\mathcal{O}$, the $\mathcal{O}$-scheme $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G, {}_{\zeta}G)$ has an $\mathcal{O}$-point. Whence the Claim.

Proof of Theorem 1.0.1. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and G be the same as in Theorem 1.0.1. Consider a short sequence of reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes

$$\{1\} \to G_{der} \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\mu} C \to \{1\}, \tag{33}$$

where G_{der} is the derived $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme of G and $C = corad(G)$ be a tori over $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mu = f_0$ (see [D-G, Exp.XXII, Thm.6.2.1]). By that Theorem the morphism μ is smooth and its kernel is the reductive $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme G_{der}. Moreover G_{der} is a semi-simple $\mathcal{O}$-group scheme. By Claim 11.0.32 the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G_{der}) \tag{34}$$

is injective. We need to prove that

$$ker[H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G)] = *. \tag{35}$$

The sequence (33) of $\mathcal{O}$-group schemes gives a short exact sequence of the corresponding sheaves in the étale topology on the big étale site. That sequence of sheaves gives rise to a commutative diagram with exact arrows of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, C) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, C) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(K)/\mu(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G_{der}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H^1_{\text{ét}}(K, C)
\end{array} \tag{36}$$

Here $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a hight one prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. Set $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$, $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$, $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$, $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$. By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] one has

$$ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *. \tag{37}$$

Let $\xi \in ker(\gamma_K)$, then $\mu(\xi) \in ker(\delta_K)$. By [C-T/S] one has $ker(\delta_K) = *$, whence $\mu(\xi) = *$ and $\xi = i_*(\zeta)$ for an $\zeta \in H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}, G_{der})$. Since $\gamma_K(\xi) = *$ and $ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$ we see that $\gamma(\xi) = *$. Whence $i_*(\beta(\zeta)) = *$ and $\beta(\zeta) = \partial(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for an $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} \in C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. A diagram chaise AND Lemma 3.0.5 show that there exists a unique element $\epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$ such that for each hight one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ one has $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$. By Purity Theorem (Theorem 1.0.2) there exists an element $\epsilon \in C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}))$ such that $\alpha_K(\epsilon) = \epsilon_K$. The element ϵ_K has the property that $\partial(\epsilon_K) = \beta_K(\zeta)$. Whence $\beta_K(\partial(\epsilon)) = \beta_K(\zeta)$. The map β_K is injective as indicated in the beginning of the proof. Whence $\partial(\epsilon) = \zeta$ and $\xi = i_*(\partial(\epsilon)) = *$. The proof of Theorem 1.0.1 is completed.

□

12 Examples

We follow here the notation of The Book of Involutions [KMRT]. The field k is of characteristic different from 2, 3 and 5. We added the latter requirement to be sure that all the algebraic groups in this section are reductive. The functors (38) to (48) satisfy purity for regular local rings containing k as follows either from Theorem 10.0.29 or from Theorem (A).

(1) Let G be a simple algebraic group over the field k, Z a central subgroup, $G' = G/Z$, $\pi : G \to G'$ the canonical morphism. For any k-algebra A let $\delta_{\pi,R} : G'(R) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, Z)$ be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R, Z)/\mathrm{Im}(\delta_{\pi,R}). \tag{38}$$

(2) Let (A,σ) be a finite separable k-algebra with an orthogonal involution. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Spin}(A,\sigma) \to \mathrm{PGO}^+(A,\sigma)$ be the canonical morphism of the spinor k-group scheme to the projective orthogonal k-group scheme. Let $Z = ker(\pi)$. For a k-algebra R let $\delta_R : \mathrm{PGO}^+(A,\sigma)(R) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)$ be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)/Im(\delta_R). \tag{39}$$

In $(2a)$ and $(2b)$ below we describe this functor somewhat more explicitly following [KMRT].

(2a) Let $C(A,\sigma)$ be the Clifford algebra. Its center l is an étale quadratic k-algebra. Assume that $deg(A)$ is divisible by 4. Let $\Omega(A,\sigma)$ be the extended Clifford group [KMRT, Definition given just below (13.19)]. Let $\underline{\sigma}$ be the canonical involution of $C(A,\sigma)$ as it is described in [KMRT, just above (8.11)]. Then $\underline{\sigma}$ is either orthogonal or symplectic by [KMRT, Prop.8.12]. Let $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A,\sigma) \to R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega)\cdot\omega$. Set $R_l = R \otimes_k l$. For a field or a local ring R one has $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)/Im(\delta_R) = R_l^\times/\underline{\mu}(\Omega(A,\sigma)(R))$ by [KMRT, the diagram in (13.32)]. Consider the functor

$$R \mapsto R_l^\times/\underline{\mu}(\Omega(A,\sigma)(R)). \tag{40}$$

It coincides with the functor $R \mapsto \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)/Im(\delta_R)$ on local rings containing k.

(2b) Now let $deg(A) = 2m$ with odd m. Let $\tau : l \to l$ be the involution of l/k. The kernel of the morphism $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l}) \xrightarrow{id-\tau} R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Thus $id-\tau$ induces a k-group scheme morphism which we denote $\overline{id-\tau} : R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \hookrightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. Let $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A,\sigma) \to R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega)\cdot\omega$. Let $\kappa : \Omega(A,\sigma) \to R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ be the k-group scheme morphism described in [KMRT, Prop.13.21]. The composition $\overline{(id-\tau)}\circ\kappa$ lands in $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ be a closed k-subgroup consisting of all (α,z) such that $\alpha^4 = N_{l/k}(z)$.

Set $\mu_* = (\underline{\mu}, [\overline{(id-\tau)}\circ\kappa]\cdot\underline{\mu}2) : \Omega(A,\sigma) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$. This k-group scheme morphism lands in U. So we get a k-group scheme morphism $\mu_* : \Omega(A,\sigma) \to \mathrm{U}$. On the level of k-rational points it coincides with the one described in [KMRT, just above (13.35)]. For a field or a local ring one has

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)/Im(\delta_R) = \mathrm{U}(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha),\alpha^4)|\alpha \in R_l^\times\}\cdot\mu_*(\Omega(A,\sigma)(R))].$$

Consider the the functor

$$R \mapsto \mathrm{U}(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha),\alpha^4)|\alpha \in R_l^\times\}\cdot\mu_*(\Omega(A,\sigma)(R))]. \tag{41}$$

It coincides with the functor $R \mapsto \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(R,Z)/Im(\delta_R)$ on local rings containing k.

(3) Let $\Gamma(A,\sigma)$ be the Clifford group k-scheme of (A,σ). Let $\mathrm{Sn}:\Gamma(A,\sigma)\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ be the spinor norm map. It is dominant. Consider the functor

$$R\mapsto R^\times/\mathrm{Sn}(\Gamma(A,\sigma)(R)). \tag{42}$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.1]. In fact, $\Gamma(A,\sigma)$ is k-rational.

(4) We follow here the Book of Involutions [KMRT, §23]. Let A be a separable finite dimensional k-algebra with center l and k-involution σ such that k coincides with all σ-invariant elements of l, that is $k=l^\sigma$. Consider the k-group schemes of similitudes of (A,σ):

$$\mathrm{Sim}(A,\sigma)(R)=\{a\in A_R^\times\ |a\cdot\sigma_R(a)\in l_K^\times\}.$$

We have a k-group scheme morphism $\mu:\mathrm{Sim}(A,\sigma)\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k},\ a\mapsto a\cdot\sigma(a)$. It gives an exact sequence of algebraic k-groups

$$\{1\}\to\mathrm{Iso}(A,\sigma)\to\mathrm{Sim}(A,\sigma)\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k}\to\{1\}.$$

One has a the functor

$$R\mapsto R^\times/\mu(\mathrm{Sim}(A,\sigma)(R)). \tag{43}$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Pa, Thm.1.2]. Various particular cases are obtained considering unitary, symplectic and orthogonal involutions.

(4a) In the case of an orthogonal involution σ the connected component $\mathrm{GO}^+(A,\sigma)$ [KMRT, (12.24)] of the similitude k-group scheme $\mathrm{GO}(A,\sigma):=\mathrm{Sim}(A,\sigma)$ has the index two in $\mathrm{GO}(A,\sigma)$. The restriction of μ to $\mathrm{GO}^+(A,\sigma)$ is still a dominant morphism to $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. One has a functor

$$R\mapsto R^\times/\mu(\mathrm{GO}^+(A,\sigma)(R)) \tag{44}$$

It seems that its purity does not follow from [Pa, Thm.1.2]. In fact we do not know whether the norm principle holds for $\mu:\mathrm{GO}^+(A,\sigma)\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ or not.

(5) Let A be a central simple algebra (csa) over k and $\mathrm{Nrd}:GL_{1,A}\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ the reduced norm morphism. One has a functor

$$R\mapsto R^\times/\mathrm{Nrd}(\mathrm{GL}_{1,A}(R)). \tag{45}$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [C-T/O, Thm.5.2].

(6) Let (A,σ) be a finite separable k-algebra with a unitary involution such that its center l is a quadratic extension of k. Let $U(A,\sigma)$ be the unitary k-group scheme. Let $U_l(1)$ be an algebraic tori given by $N_{l/k}=1$. One has a functor

$$R\mapsto \mathrm{U}_l(1)(R)/\mathrm{Nrd}(\mathrm{U}_{A,\sigma}(R))=\{\alpha\in R_l^\times|N_{l/k}(\alpha)=1\}/\mathrm{Nrd}(\mathrm{U}_{A,\sigma}(R)) \tag{46}$$

where Nrd is the reduced norm map. Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.3].

(7) Let A be a csa of degree 3 over k, Nrd the reduced norm and Trd be the reduced trace. Consider the cubic form on the 27-dimensional k-vector space $A \times A \times A$ given by $N := \mathrm{Nrd}(x) + \mathrm{Nrd}(y) + \mathrm{Nrd}(z) - \mathrm{Trd}(xyz)$. Let $\mathrm{Iso}(A, N)$ be the k-group scheme of isometries of N and $\mathrm{Sim}(N)$ be the k-group scheme of similitudes of N. It is known that $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$ is a normal algebraic subgroup in $\mathrm{Sim}(A, N)$ and the factor group coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. So we have a canonical k-group morphism (the multiplier) $\mu : \mathrm{Sim}(N) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^{\times}/\mu(\mathrm{Sim}(N)(R)). \tag{47}$$

Note that the connected component of $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$ is a simply connected algebraic k-group of the type E_6.

(8) Let (A, σ) be a csa of degree 8 over k with a symplectic involution. Let $V \subset A$ be the subspace of all skew-symmetric elements. It is of dimension 28. Let Pfd be the reduced Pfaffian on V and Trd be the reduced trace on A. Consider the degree 4 form on the space $V \times V$ given by $F := \mathrm{Pfr}(x) + \mathrm{Pfr}(y) - 1/4\mathrm{Trd}((xy)2) - 1/16\mathrm{Trd}(xy)^2$. Consider the symplectic form on $V \times V$ given by $\phi((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \mathrm{Trd}(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$. Let $\mathrm{Iso}(F)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Iso}(\phi)$) be the k-group scheme of isometries of the pair F (resp. of ϕ)). Let $\mathrm{Sim}(F)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sim}(\phi)$) be the k-group scheme of similitudes of F (resp. of ϕ). Set $G = \mathrm{Iso}(F) \cap \mathrm{Iso}(\phi)$ and $G^+ = \mathrm{Sim}(F) \cap \mathrm{Sim}(\phi)$. It is known that G is a normal algebraic subgroup in G^+ and the factor group coincides with $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. So we have a canonical k-group morphism $\mu : G^+ \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$. Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^{\times}/\mu(G^+(R)). \tag{48}$$

Note that G is a simply-connected group of the type E_7.

13 Appendix

Theorem 5.0.13 is proved in the present Section.

Theorem 13.0.33. *Let S be a regular semi-local irreducible scheme. Assume that all the closed points of S have* **finite residue fields**. *Assume that G_1 and G_2 are reductive S-group schemes which are forms of each other. Let $T \subset S$ be a connected non-empty closed sub-scheme of S, and $\varphi : G_1|_T \to G_2|_T$ be S-group scheme isomorphism. Then there exists a finite étale morphism $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ together with its section $\delta : T \to \tilde{S}$ over T and $\tilde{S}$-group scheme isomorphisms $\Phi : \pi^*G_1 \to \pi^*G_2$ such that*

(i) $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$,

(ii) the scheme $\tilde{S}$ is irreducible.

Proposition 13.0.34. *Theorem 13.0.33 holds in the case when the groups G_1 and G_2 are semi-simple (see [Pan1, Prop.5.1]).*

Proposition 13.0.35. *Theorem 13.0.33 holds in the case when the groups G_1 and G_2 are tori and, more generally, in the case when the groups G_1 and G_2 are of multiplicative type.*

Proposition 13.0.36. *Let T and S be the same as in Theorem 13.0.33. Let M_1 and M_2 be two S-group schemes of multiplicative type. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2$ be two S-group scheme morphisms such that $\alpha_1|_T = \alpha_2|_T$. Then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.*

Proof of Theorem 13.0.33. Let $Rad(G_r) \subset G_r$ be the radical of G_r and let $der(G_r) \subset G_r$ be the derived subgroup of G_r ($r = 1, 2$) (see [D-G, Exp.XXII, 4.3]). By the very definition the radical is a tori. The S-group scheme $der(G_r)$ is semi-simple ($r = 1, 2$). Set $Z_r := Rad(G_r) \cap der(G_r)$. The above embeddings induce natural S-group morphisms

$$\Pi_r : Rad(G_r) \times_S der(G_r) \to G_r$$

with Z_r as the kernel ($r = 1, 2$). By [D-G, Exp.XXII,Prop.6.2.4] Π_r is a central isogeny. Particularly, Π_r is a faithfully flat finite morphism by [D-G, Exp.XXII,Defn.4.2.9]. Let $i_r : Z_r \hookrightarrow Rad(G_r) \times_S der(G_r)$ be the closed embedding.

The T-group scheme isomorphism $\varphi : G_1|_T \to G_2|T$ induces certain T-group scheme isomorphisms $\varphi_{der} : der(G_1|_T) \to der(G_2|_T)$, $\varphi_{rad} : rad(G_1|_T) \to rad(G_2|_T)$ and $\varphi_Z : Z_1|_T \to Z_2|_T$ **such that**

$$(\Pi_2)|_T \circ (\varphi_{der} \times \varphi_{rad}) = \varphi \circ (\Pi_1)|_T \text{ and } i_{2,T} \circ \varphi_Z = (\varphi_{rad} \times \varphi_{der}) \circ i_{1,T}.$$

By Propositions 13.0.34 and 13.0.35 there exist a finite étale morphism $\pi : \tilde{S} \to S$ (with an irreducible scheme $\tilde{S}$) and its section $\delta : T \to \tilde{S}$ over T and $\tilde{S}$-group scheme isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{der} : der(G_{1,\tilde{S}}) \to der(G_{2,\tilde{S}}) \ \ , \ \ \Phi_{Rad} : Rad(G_{1,\tilde{S}}) \to Rad(G_{2,\tilde{S}}) \ \text{ and } \ \Phi_Z : Z_{1,\tilde{S}} \to Z_{2,\tilde{S}}$$

such that $\delta^*(\Phi_{der}) = \varphi_{der}$, $\delta^*(\Phi_{rad}) = \varphi_{rad}$ and $\delta^*(\Phi_Z) = \varphi_Z$.

Since Z_r is contained in the center of $der(G_r)$ and is of multiplicative type Proposition 13.0.36 yields the equality

$$i_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \Phi_Z = (\Phi_{Rad} \times \Phi_{der}) \circ i_{1,\tilde{S}} : Z_{1,\tilde{S}} \to Rad(G_{2,\tilde{S}}) \times_{\tilde{S}} der(G_{2,\tilde{S}}).$$

Thus $(\Phi_{Rad} \times \Phi_{der})$ induces an $\tilde{S}$-group scheme isomorphism

$$\Phi : G_{1,\tilde{S}} \to G_{2,\tilde{S}}$$

such that $\Pi_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ (\Phi_{Rad} \times \Phi_{der}) = \Phi \circ \Pi_{1,\tilde{S}}$. The latter equality yields the following one $(\Pi_2)|_T \circ \delta^*(\Phi_{Rad} \times \Phi_{der}) = \delta^*(\Phi) \circ (\Pi_1)|_T$, which in turn yields the equality

$$(\Pi_2)|_T \circ (\varphi_{rad} \times \varphi_{der}) = \delta^*(\Phi) \circ (\Pi_1)|_T.$$

Comparing it with the equality $(\Pi_2)|_T \circ (\varphi_{rad} \times \varphi_{der}) = \varphi \circ (\Pi_1)|_T$ and using the fact that $(\Pi_1)|_T$ is strictly flat we conclude the equality $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$.

□

Proof of Theorem 5.0.13. By Theorem 13.0.33 there exists a finite étale morphism $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ together with its section $\delta : T \to \tilde{S}$ over T and $\tilde{S}$-group scheme isomorphisms

$$\Phi : G_{1,\tilde{S}} \to G_{2,\tilde{S}} \text{ and } \Psi : C_{1,\tilde{S}} \to G_{1,\tilde{S}}$$

such that $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$, $\delta^*(\Psi) = \psi$. It remains to show that $\mu_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \mu_{1,\tilde{S}}$. To prove this equality recall that μ_r can be naturally presented as a composition

$$G_r \xrightarrow{can_r} Corad(G_r) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_r} C_r.$$

Since $can_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \Phi = Corad(\Phi) \circ can_{1,\tilde{S}}$ it remains to check that $\bar{\mu}_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ Corad(\Phi) = \Psi \circ \bar{\mu}_{1,\tilde{S}}$. The latter equality follows from Proposition 13.0.36 and the equality $(\bar{\mu}_2|_T) \circ Corad(\varphi) = \psi \circ (\bar{\mu}_1|_T)$, which holds since $(\mu_2|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_1|_T)$ by the very assumption of the Theorem. □

Let k be **a finite field**. Let U be as in Definition 6.0.14. Let S' be an irreducible regular semi-local scheme over k and $p : S' \to U$ be a k-morphism. Let $T' \subset S'$ be a closed sub-scheme of S' such that the restriction $p|_{T'} : T' \to U$ is an isomorphism. We will assume below that $dim(T') < dim(S')$, where dim is the Krull dimension. For any closed point $u \in U$ and any U-scheme V let $V_u = u \times_U V$ be the fibre of the scheme V over the point u. For a finite set A denote $\sharp A$ the cardinality of A.

Lemma 13.0.37. *Assume that all the closed points of S' have* **finite residue fields**. *Then there exists a finite étale morphism $\rho : S'' \to S'$ (with an irreducible scheme S'') and a section $\delta' : T' \to S''$ of ρ over T' such that the following holds*

(1) *for any closed point $u \in U$ let $u' \in T'$ be a unique point such that $p(u') = u$, then the point $\delta'(u') \in S''_u$ is the only $k(u)$-rational point of S''_u,*

(2) *for any closed point $u \in U$ and any integer $r \geq 1$ one has*

$$\sharp\{z \in S''_u | deg[z : u] = r\} \leq \sharp\{x \in \mathbf{A}^1_u | deg[z : u] = r\}$$

References

[A] *Artin, M.* Comparaison avec la cohomologie classique: cas d'un préschéma lisse. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 305, Exp XI.

[C-T/O] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Ojanguren, M.* Espaces Principaux Homogènes Localement Triviaux, Publ. Math. IHES 75, no. 2, 97–122 (1992).

[C-T/P/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Parimala, R.; Sridaran, R.* Un théorème de pureté local, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 309, ser.I, 857–862 (1989).

[C-T/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Sansuc, J.-J.* Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications, Journal of Algebra, 106 (1987), 148–205.

[Ch] V. Chernousov, Variations on a theme of groups splitting by a quadratic extension and Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for group schemes F_4 with trivial g_3 invariant, Preprint Server: Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures, 354 (2009).

[ChP] *V.Chernousov, I.Panin* Purity of G_2-torsors. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 6, 307–312.

[D-G] *Demazure, Grothendieck.* Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs. Lect. Notes Math., vol 153.

[E] *Eisenbud, D.* Commutative Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer (1994).

[FP] *Fedorov, R.; Panin, I.* A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semilocal regular ring containing an infinite field, Preprint, 2012,

[Gr1] *Grothendieck, A.* Torsion homologique et section rationnalles, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.

[Gr2] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer II, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologique de schémes*, Amsterdam,North-Holland, 1968.

[Gr3] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer III: Exemples et compl'ements, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologique de schémes*, Amsterdam,North-Holland, 1968.

[KMRT] *Knus, M.A.; Merkurjev, A.S.; Rost, M.; Tignol, J.-P.*, The Book of Involutions, Colloquium Publication, no.44, AMS, 1998.

[H] *Harder, G.* Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen, Inventiones Math. 4, 165–191 (1967).

[Ni] *Nisnevich, Y.* Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, 299, no. 1, 5–8 (1984).

[Oj] *Ojanguren, M.* Quadratic forms over regular rings, J. Indian Math. Soc., 44, 109–116 (1980).

[OP] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* A purity theorem for the Witt group.
Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 32, no. 1, 71–86 (1999).

[OP1] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* Rationally trivial hermitian spaces are locally trivial. Math. Z. 237 (2001), 181–198.

[OPZ] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.; Zainoulline, K.* On the norm principle for quadratic forms. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 19, No.4 (2004) 1–12.

[PS] *Panin, I.; Suslin, A.* On a conjecture of Grothendieck concerning Azumaya algebras, St.Petersburg Math. J., 9, no.4, 851–858 (1998).

[P2] *Panin, I.* On Grothendieck—Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes: II, Preprint, April 2013, http://www.math.org/0905.1423v3.

[Pan1] *Panin, I.* On Grothendieck-Serre conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over regular semi-local domains containing a finite field: I, Preprint, May 2014.

[Pan3] *Panin, I.* Proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal G-bundles over semi-local regular domains containing a finite field, Preprint, May 2014.

[PSV] *Panin, I.; Stavrova A,; Vavilov, N.* On Grothendieck—Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes I, Preprint, April, 2013, http://www.arxiv.org/0905.1418v3

[P] *Popescu, D.* General Néron desingularization and approximation, Nagoya Math. Journal, 104 (1986), 85–115.

[Pa] *Panin, I.* Purity for multipliers, in Proceedings of the Silver Jubilee Conference University of Hyderabad (Ed. R.Tandon) Algebra and Number Theory, pp.66–89

[PaPS] *Panin, I.; Petrov, V.; Stavrova, A.* On Grothendieck–Serre's for simple adjoint group schemes of types E_6 and E_7. Preprint (2009), http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/

[R1] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 116, No.1–3, 409–423 (1994).

[R2] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Erratum: Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 121, No.1, 223 (1995).

[RR] *Raghunathan, M.S.; Ramanathan, A.* Principal bundles on the affine line. (English) Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 93, 137–145 (1984).

[R] *Rost, M.* Durch Normengruppen definierte birationale Invarianten. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 10, ser.I, 189–192 (1990).

[Q] *Quillen, D.* Higher algebraic K-theory I, in Algebraic K-Theory I.
Lect. Notes in Math. 341, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[Se] *Serre, J.-P.* Espaces fibrés algébriques, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.

[SuVo] *Suslin, A.; Voevodsky, V.* Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties. Invent. Math. 123 (1996), no. 1, 61–94.

[Sw] *Swan, R.G.* Néron-Popescu desingularization, Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995), Lect. Algebra Geom. Vol. 2, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998, pp. 135–192.

[Z] *Zainoulline, K.* The Purity Problem for Functors with Transfers, K-theory, 22 (2001), no.4, 303-333.

[Vo] *Voevodsky, V.* Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. In Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories. Ann. Math. Studies, 2000, Princeton University Pres.